JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Dale Weis, Chair; Janet Sayre Hoeft, Vice-Chair; Don Carroll, Secretary; Paul Hynek, First Alternate; Aari Roberts, Second Alternate

<u>PUBLIC HEARING</u> BEGINS AT **1:00 P.M.** ON THURSDAY, MAY 11, 2017 IN ROOM 205, JEFFERSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE

<u>CALL TO ORDER FOR BOARD MEMBERS</u> IS AT 10:00 A.M. IN COURTHOUSE ROOM 203, PRIOR TO THE HEARING

<u>SITE INSPECTION FOR BOARD MEMBERS</u> LEAVES AT 10:15 A.M. FROM COURTHOUSE ROOM 203, PRIOR TO THE HEARING

1. Call to Order-Room 203 at 10:00 a.m.

Meeting called to order @ 10:00 a.m. by Hoeft

2. Roll Call (Establish a Quorum)

Members present: Carroll, Hoeft

Members absent: Weis

Staff: Matt Zangl, Laurie Miller

3. Certification of Compliance with Open Meetings Law Requirements

Hoeft acknowledge publication. Staff also presented proof of publication.

4. Approval of the Agenda

Carroll made motion, seconded by Hoeft, motion carried 2-0 on a voice vote to approve the agenda

5. Approval of April 13, 2017 Meeting Minutes

Hoeft made motion, seconded by Carroll, motion carried 2-0 on a voice vote to approve the meeting minutes.

6. Communications and Public Comment - None

7. Site Inspections – Beginning at 10:15 a.m. and Leaving from Room 203

V1598- Randy Sonley, W6110 County Road K, Town of Koshkonong V1596-17-Michael Ready, W7638 Perry Rd, Town of Oakland V1597-17-Daniel & Toni Zastrow, near N5307 Mud Lake Rd, Town of Lake Mills

8. Public Hearing – Beginning at 1:00 p.m. in Room 205

Meeting called to order at 1:00 p.m. by Weis

Members present: Carroll, Hoeft, Weis

Members absent: ----

Staff: Matt Zangl, Laurie Miller

9. Explanation of Process by Board of Adjustment Chair

The following was read into the record by Weis:

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Jefferson County Zoning Board of Adjustment will conduct a public hearing at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, May 11, 2017 in Room 205 of the Jefferson County Courthouse, Jefferson, Wisconsin. Matters to be heard are applications for variance from terms of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing in any district a use not permitted in that district. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing a use of land or property which would violate state laws or administrative rules. Subject to the above limitations, variances may be granted where strict enforcement of the terms of the ordinance results in an unnecessary hardship and where a variance in the standards will allow the spirit of the ordinance to be observed, substantial justice to be accomplished and the public interest not violated. Based upon the findings of fact, the Board of Adjustment must conclude that: 1) Unnecessary hardship is present in that a literal enforcement of the terms of the ordinance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome; 2) The hardship is due to unique physical limitations of the property rather than circumstances of the applicant; 3) The variance will not be contrary to the public interest as expressed by the purpose and intent of the zoning ordinance. PETITIONERS, OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES, SHALL BE **PRESENT.** There may be site inspections prior to public hearing which any

interested parties may attend; discussion and possible action shall be occur after public hearing on the following:

<u>V1596-17 – Michael Ready:</u> Variance from Sec. 11.07(d) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance to replace the home at **W7638 Perry Road** at less than the required setback to the Perry Road centerline. The site is on PIN 022-0613-1223-000 (14.86 Ac) in the Town of Oakland, in an A-1, Exclusive Agricultural zone.

Michael Ready, W7638 Perry Road, presented his petition. He state there was an existing 16'x80' mobile home which they were going to get rid of and add a 12' addition onto a new stick built home.

There were no questions or comments in favor or opposition of the petition. There was a town response in the file approving the petition which was read into the record by Weis.

Weis noted there was a survey in the file and questioned if a surveyor had added the dimensions. The petitioner stated yes. Weis noted that it going to be at 83.8' which is 1.2' too close. The petitioner stated that it was because of the overhang. The structure at the foundation was 2" short of meeting the setback. Weis confirmed with the petitioner that the existing would be removed, they would be using the existing foundation and adding on. The petitioner stated that would be correct. Weis noted that there were also other structures there that factor in to the placement/replacement.

Zangl gave staff report. He noted that there was a 1975 variance to create the lot. There was a permit issued in 1987 for the existing mobile home as well as a sanitary permit. The town decision in the file approved the petition request. Zangl explained the setback requirements and noted that they were asking for a setback of 83.8'.

Hoeft questioned the removal of the mobile home. Ready stated they would be using the existing foundation. Zangl asked the petitioner if there was any way he could meet the setback. Ready stated that Perry Road is on quite an angle towards the existing foundation. He further explained his petition. Zangl confirmed with the petitioner there would be a 12' addition to the front of the house closer to the angle of the ROW. The petitioner stated that was correct. Hoeft commented in situations like this, there is always a concern for vision, but this was pretty much a straight shot. Zangl asked the petitioner if he was aware that any future addition would require a variance. Ready stated he understood.

<u>V1597-17 – Daniel & Toni Zastrow:</u> Variance from Sec. 11.03(d) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance to allow creation of an A-1, Exclusive Agricultural lot without frontage on and access to a public road. The property is near **N5307 Mud**

Lake Rd in the Town of Lake Mills, on PINs 018-0713-3622-000 (40 Ac), 018-0713-3623-000 (40 Ac) and 018-0713-3632-000 (53.13 Ac).

Daniel Zastrow, N5037 Mud Lake Road, presented the petition. He stated that the parcel they want to create is in the Wetland Preservation Program and cannot be used for anything other than hunting. They have a party that wants to buy it, but it needs access off the road. There are DNR lands to the west and south. Should the lands be sold with a ROW in the future, it could be sold to DNR. The access by ownership would come 60' away from his house, so he was proposing an easement to go along with the deed to the property for anyone who would own that property.

There were no questions or comments in favor or opposition of the petition. There was a town response in the file approving the petition which was read into the record by Weis.

Weis asked the petitioner about the amount of land that was being created. The petitioner stated it would be about 113 acres. Weis noted that it was exclusively hunting/recreational land with no crop land. The petitioner stated yes, and you cannot put up any buildings.

Zangl gave staff report. He noted that this was a larger parcel to start out with, about 160 acres. One parcel will remain 35 acres, and they are creating this 113 acre parcel. Zangl explained the requirements including access and frontage. This parcel is back off the road with no access. They are proposing an easement. All the land is wetland, floodplain, and flood storage. Any residential development would be out of the question. It is recreational land, hunting, wetland, and floodplain.

Hoeft questioned staff why this wasn't being rezoned to Natural Resources. Zangl stated that it does not require to be rezoned and can remain agricultural. Hoeft questioned the rest of the land and the house. Zangl state the house has been split off and is a separate parcel as part of a farm consolidation. The remainder lands around that lot would be ag land at 35 acres. Zastrow further explained that they would be keeping 10 acres of the Wetland Preserve Program lands. Zangl noted there was a map in the file outlining the Wetland Preservation Program land area.

Carroll questioned the access easement and how it would be written up. The petitioner stated that it would be written that anyone who owns it would have continuous use of that easement unless it would be an adjacent neighbor. It would be on the deed to land that they are selling. Carroll asked if the petitioner be willing to have the agreement in place before the sale. The petitioner stated yes.

Weis questioned staff about an easement without a Natural Resources Zone. Zangl stated that it does not matter what it's zoned. The land is only suitable for

recreational land. Carroll commented on the A-1 Zoned lands and the access. Zangl stated they were asking for a 30' access easement with a deed to be done before the sale. Weis questioned if the 150 acres is tillable, would the easement be appropriate? Zangl stated it is recreational land and would be a different story if it was tillable.

Hoeft asked the petitioner if they were intending on keeping it as private lands. The petitioner stated that was correct. He does not have a problem with DNR owning the parcels. If it would be a public access, anyone who wants to drive there could. Zangl asked the petitioner if there has been any talk with DNR or any potential future acquisition by the DNR. Zastrow stated they have made an offer a couple of years ago. Zangl asked if there was anything recent. Zastrow stated no.

<u>V1598-17 – Randy Sonley:</u> Variance from Sec. 11.04(f)6 of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance to construct a detached garage at less than the required side yard setback in an A-1, Exclusive Agricultural zone. The site is at **W6110 County Road K** in the Town of Koshkonong, on PIN 016-0514-2844-003 (0.46 Ac).

Laurie Sonley, W6110 County Road K, presented the petition. She stated that this was a one room school house built in 1846. When the garage was put on, it was put over the septic. They want to move the garage location to the front of the pavement.

Weis asked the petitioner if the existing garage would be removed. The petitioner stated they would be building new and removing the old garage.

There was a town response in the file approving the petition which was read into the record by Weis. There were no questions or comments in favor or opposition of the petition.

Zangl gave staff report. He stated that this was supposed to be a carport, but is a garage and is a violation. There is a 1975 permit for a carport that has been turned into a garage built over the septic tank. Zangl gave the required setbacks of 20', and noted they are asking for a 14' setback. He asked the petitioner the new location of the garage. The petitioner explained would be up to the cement.

Hoeft commented that they were going from a two-car garage to a one-car garage. The petitioner further explained. Carroll questioned staff on the existing septic. The petitioner stated that they just received notice for servicing. Carroll noted that it may be easier to move the septic than the garage if the septic was not OK. Zangl noted that they just got the pumping notice, so if there were any clear signs of failure, they would become aware of it at the time. The petitioner stated they have had a servicing of their septic before and it was OK. With the current notice, they are due to have service by July. There was further discussion.

10. Discussion	and	Possible	Action	on	Above	Petitions	(See	files	and
following pa	ages.))							

11. Adjourn

Hoeft made motion, seconded by Carroll, motion carried 3-0 on a voice vote to adjourn @ 2:13 p.m.

If you have questions regarding these variances, please contact the Zoning Department at 920-674-7113 or 920-674-8638. Variance files referenced on this hearing notice may be viewed in Courthouse Room 201 between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Materials covering other agenda items can be found at www.jeffersoncountywi.gov.

JEFFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

A quorum of any Jefferson County Committee, Board, Commission or other body, including the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors, may be present at this meeting.

Individuals requiring special accommodations for attendance at the meeting should contact the County Administrator at 920-674-7101 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting so appropriate arrangements can be made.

A digital recording of the meeting will be available in the Zoning Department upon request.

Additional information on Zoning can be found at www.jeffersoncountywi.gov

Secretary	Date

DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

FINDINGS OF FACT

APPLICANT:	Michael R Ready	
PROPERTY OWNER:	SAME	
PARCEL (PIN #):	022-0613-1223-000	
TOWNSHIP:	Oakland	
INTENT OF PETITION from the centerline	IER: To replace the home at W7638 Perry Road at 83.8 fee of Perry Road	eet
-	UESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION <u>11.07(d)</u> (NTY ZONING ORDINANCE.	OF
THE JEFFERSON COU	NTT ZONING ORDINANCE.	
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAI	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AF substandard A-1 lot	
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAI -V23 1975 to create	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AF substandard A-1 lot	
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAN -V23 1975 to create -1987 Land Use Pe	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AF	RE:
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAI -V23 1975 to create -1987 Land Use Per -Section 11.07(d) re	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AF substandard A-1 lot rmit and Sanitary Permit for existing residence quires 85' from CL and 50' from ROW, requesting 83.8' from	RE:
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAN -V23 1975 to create -1987 Land Use Pe	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AF substandard A-1 lot rmit and Sanitary Permit for existing residence quires 85' from CL and 50' from ROW, requesting 83.8' from	RE:
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAI -V23 1975 to create -1987 Land Use Per -Section 11.07(d) re	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AF substandard A-1 lot rmit and Sanitary Permit for existing residence quires 85' from CL and 50' from ROW, requesting 83.8' from	RE:
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAI -V23 1975 to create -1987 Land Use Per -Section 11.07(d) re	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AF substandard A-1 lot rmit and Sanitary Permit for existing residence quires 85' from CL and 50' from ROW, requesting 83.8' from	RE:
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAI -V23 1975 to create -1987 Land Use Per -Section 11.07(d) re	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AF substandard A-1 lot rmit and Sanitary Permit for existing residence quires 85' from CL and 50' from ROW, requesting 83.8' from	RE:
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAI -V23 1975 to create -1987 Land Use Per -Section 11.07(d) re	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AF substandard A-1 lot rmit and Sanitary Permit for existing residence quires 85' from CL and 50' from ROW, requesting 83.8' from	RE:
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAI -V23 1975 to create -1987 Land Use Perential Section 11.07(d) re -Town approval da FACTS OR OBSERVATI	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHAT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AF substandard A-1 lot mit and Sanitary Permit for existing residence quires 85' from CL and 50' from ROW, requesting 83.8' from ted March 21, 2017 ONS BASED ON SITE INSPECTIONS: Site inspections	CL
THE FEATURES OF TH RELATE TO THE GRAI -V23 1975 to create -1987 Land Use Perential Section 11.07(d) re -Town approval da FACTS OR OBSERVATI	IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHAT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION AS substandard A-1 lot mit and Sanitary Permit for existing residence quires 85' from CL and 50' from ROW, requesting 83.8' from ted March 21, 2017	CL

DECISION STANDARDS

A.	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF ALLOWING IN ANY DISTRICT A USE NOT PERMITTED IN THAT DISTRICT
В.	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF ALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPERTY WHICH WOULD VIOLATE STATE LAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES:
C.	SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, VARIANCES MAY BE GRANTED WHERE STRICT ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF THE ORDINANCE RESULTS IN AN UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP & WHERE A VARIANCE IN THE STANDARDS WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE ORDINANCE TO BE OBSERVED, SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, & THE PUBLIC INTEREST NOT VIOLATED.
	BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT, THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:
1.	UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS PRESENT IN THAT A LITERAL ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE WOULD UNREASONABLY PREVENT THE OWNER FROM USING THE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE OR WOULD RENDER CONFORMITY WITH SUCH RESTRICTIONS UNNECESSARILY BURDENSOME BECAUSE
2.	THE HARDSHIP IS DUE TO UNIQUE PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS OF THE PROPERTY RATHER THAN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE APPLICANT BECAUSE Perry Road angles a lot. They are approximately 1.5' short. There are existing structures on the property which influence the placement as well as the orientation of Perry Road. This property has developed over the years, and it is extremely difficult to alter the placement of the new home.
3.	THE VARIANCE WILL NOT BE CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST AS EXPRESSED BY THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE BECAUSE there is no vision problem coming in or out and no emergency access problem. There is no change in the effect of the structure on public safety from Perry Road. It improves the existing property without changing the structure numbers on the lot.
A VA	RIANCE MAY BE GRANTED IF ALL THESE CONDITIONS ARE MET
DECI	SION: THE REQUESTED VARIANCE IS GRANTED.
MOT	ION: Carroll SECOND: Hoeft VOTE: 3-0 (voice vote)
CONI	DITIONS OF APPROVAL/DENIAL:
SIGN	ED:DATE:05-11-2017
BOAF	CHAIRPERSON RD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF

THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.

DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

FINDINGS OF FACT

PETITION NO.:	2017 V1597
HEARING DATE:	05-11-2017
APPLICANT:	Daniel & Toni Zastrow
PROPERTY OWNER:	SAME
DADCEL (DINL#).	019 0712 2522 000
PARCEL (PIN #):	018-0/13-2533-000
TOWNSHIP:	Lake Mills
INTENT OF PETITION a public road	ER: Create a 110 acre A-1 lot without access and frontage on
a public road	
-	JESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION <u>11.03(d)</u> OF NTY ZONING ORDINANCE.
RELATE TO THE GRAN	E PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH IT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE: in, Flood Storage (See Environmental Corridor Map)
-Access over a 30' e	asement?
-Potential buver? H	unting & recreational land
•	
-Section 11.03(d) ap	plies
-R3847A-2015 for A-	-3 farm consolidation
-R2767A-2003 for tw	vo 1 acre A-3 lots on corner of Conservation & Mud Lake
-CU726-1996 for Int	ensive Agricultural Dairy Operation
	ONS BASED ON SITE INSPECTIONS: Site inspections ed property layout & location.
Conducted, Observ	ca property injour & rocurron.
FACTS PRESENTED AT	PUBLIC HEARING: See tape, minutes & file.

DECISION STANDARDS

			DISTRIC	Γ A USE N				
ALLO	WING	A USE OI	F LAND (OR PROPI	ERTY WH	ICH W	OULD VI	
WHEI RESUL STAN SUBST	RE STF LTS IN DARDS FANTI	RICT ENF I AN UNN S WILL AI	ORCEMINECESSALLOW TH	ENT OF T RY HARI IE SPIRIT	THE TERM SHIP & W T OF THE	MS OF VHERE ORDI	THE ORD E A VARIA NANCE T	DINANCE NCE IN THE O BE OBSERVED,
BASE1	O ON	THE FINI	DINGS O	F FACT,	THE BOAI	RD CO	NCLUDES	STHAT:
ENFO WOUL THE I CONF	RCEM L <mark>D/W(</mark> PROPE ORMI	IENT OF OULD NO CRTY FOR TY WITH	THE TEI T UNRE R A PERM	RMS OF T ASONABI IITTED P	THE ZONI LY PREVE TURPOSE (ING OI ONT TH OR WO	RDINANC HE OWNE OULD REN	CE CR FROM USING NDER
PROP	ERTY	RATHER	THAN T	HE CIRC	UMSTAN	CES OI	F THE AP	PLICANT
EXPR	ESSED							
RIANC	E MAY	BE GRA	NTED IF	ALL THI	ESE CONI	OITION	IS ARE M	<u>ET*</u>
SION: '	ГНЕ R	EQUEST	ED VARL	ANCE IS	DENIED.			
ON:	Weis		SE	COND:	Hoeft		VOTE: 3-0	(voice vote)
DITION	S OF A	APPROVA	L/DENIA	AL:				
E D :				DSON			DATE:	05-11-2017
	NO VAALLOY ALLOY ALLOY ALLOY ALLOY LAWS SUBJE WHEIR RESULT SUBSTIVIOLA BASEI UNNITHE IT CONF BECAT THE IT PROPE BECAT THE VEXPORT BECAT BE	ALLOWING NO VARIAN ALLOWING LAWS OR AI SUBJECT TO WHERE STE RESULTS IN STANDARDS SUBSTANTI VIOLATED. BASED ON TO UNNECESS ENFORCEM WOULD/WO THE PROPE CONFORMI BECAUSE THE HARDS PROPERTY BECAUSE THE VARIAN EXPRESSED BECAUSE RIANCE MAY SION: THE R ON: Weis	NO VARIANCE MAY ALLOWING A USE OF LAWS OR ADMINIST. SUBJECT TO THE AEM WHERE STRICT ENEM RESULTS IN AN UNIVERSAL JUSTIN VIOLATED. BASED ON THE FINEM UNNECESSARY HARENFORCEMENT OF WOULD WOULD NOT THE PROPERTY FOR CONFORMITY WITH BECAUSE	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRAM ALLOWING A USE OF LAND OLAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE IN SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIM WHERE STRICT ENFORCEMENT OF THE ABOVE LIM WHERE STRICT ENFORCEMENT OF THE SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE VIOLATED. BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS ENFORCEMENT OF THE TEST WOULD/WOULD NOT UNRECED THE PROPERTY FOR A PERM CONFORMITY WITH SUCH RECAUSE	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPILAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES: SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATION WHERE STRICT ENFORCEMENT OF TRESULTS IN AN UNNECESSARY HARDSTANDARDS WILL ALLOW THE SPIRITS UBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMVIOLATED. BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT, TO UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS/IS NOT ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF TWOULD/WOULD NOT UNREASONABITHE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PROPERTY RATHER THAN THE CIRCUMSTANT OF THE VARIANCE WILL/WILL NOT BE CAUSE	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOU ALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPERTY WH LAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES: SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, VARIAWHERE STRICT ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERM RESULTS IN AN UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP & W STANDARDS WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED VIOLATED. BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT, THE BOAID UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS/IS NOT PRESENTENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF THE ZONIWOULD/WOULD NOT UNREASONABLY PREVENTHE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE OF CONFORMITY WITH SUCH RESTRICTIONS UNBECAUSE	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPERTY WHICH WE LAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES: SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, VARIANCES WHERE STRICT ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF RESULTS IN AN UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP & WHERE STANDARDS WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE ORDIN SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, & THE VIOLATED. BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT, THE BOARD CO. UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS/IS NOT PRESENT IN TENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF THE ZONING OF WOULD/WOULD NOT UNREASONABLY PREVENT THE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE OR WO CONFORMITY WITH SUCH RESTRICTIONS UNNECES BECAUSE THE HARDSHIP IS NOT DUE TO UNIQUE PHYSICAL IN THE PROPERTY RATHER THAN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF BECAUSE THE VARIANCE WILL/WILL NOT BE CONTRARY TO THE VARIANCE WILL/WILL NOT BE CONTRARY TO THE BECAUSE RIANCE MAY BE GRANTED IF ALL THESE CONDITIONS SION: THE REQUESTED VARIANCE IS DENIED. ON: Weis SECOND: Hoeft	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE ALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPERTY WHICH WOULD VIO LAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES: SUBJECT TO THE ABOVE LIMITATIONS, VARIANCES MAY BE OWNERE STRICT ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF THE ORD RESULTS IN AN UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP & WHERE A VARIASTANDARDS WILL ALLOW THE SPIRIT OF THE ORDINANCE TO SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED, & THE PUBLIC VIOLATED. BASED ON THE FINDINGS OF FACT, THE BOARD CONCLUDES UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP IS/IS NOT PRESENT IN THAT A LIENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS OF THE ZONING ORDINANC WOULD WOULD NOT UNREASONABLY PREVENT THE OWNET THE PROPERTY FOR A PERMITTED PURPOSE OR WOULD RESCONFORMITY WITH SUCH RESTRICTIONS UNNECESSARILY BECAUSE THE HARDSHIP IS NOT DUE TO UNIQUE PHYSICAL LIMITATIFY PROPERTY RATHER THAN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE AP BECAUSE THE VARIANCE WILL/WILL NOT BE CONTRARY TO THE PUBLEXPRESSED BY THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF THE ZONING BECAUSE RIANCE MAY BE GRANTED IF ALL THESE CONDITIONS ARE MESION: THE REQUESTED VARIANCE IS DENIED. ON: Weis SECOND: Hoeft VOTE: 3-CONTIONS OF APPROVAL/DENIAL: ED: DATE:

BOARD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.

DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

FINDINGS OF FACT

FACTS PRESENTED AT	PUBLIC HEARING: See tape, minutes & file.
	ONS BASED ON SITE INSPECTIONS: Site inspections ed property layout & location.
-11.04(f)(6) requires	buildings to be 20' from side lots lines in A-1 zone
-No sanitary permit	on file
-1975 Permit 4179 fo	or carport
	•
-2013 Permit 59873 f	for porch and roof
	E PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH IT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE:
	JESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION <u>11.04(f)(6)</u> DUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE.
property mie at wo	AND WARE AND
INTENT OF PETITION property line at W6	ER: To construct a detached garage at 14.5 feet from side
TOWNSHIP:	Koshkonong
PARCEL (PIN #):	
PROPERTY OWNER:	
APPLICANT:	Randy D & Laurie A Sonley
HEARING DATE:	05-11-2017
PETITION NO.:	2017 V1598

DECISION STANDARDS

A.				E GRANTED WE			
	ALLC	WING I	N ANY DI	STRICT A USE N	NOT PERMIT	TED IN THAT	DISTRICT
В.	ALLC	WING A	USE OF I	E GRANTED WE LAND OR PROP ATIVE RULES: _	ERTY WHICE	H WOULD VIO	
C.	WHE RESU STAN SUBS VIOL	RE STRI JLTS IN JDARDS TANTIA ATED.	CT ENFO AN UNNE WILL ALL L JUSTICE		THE TERMS OSHIP & WHI I' OF THE OF IPLISHED, &	OF THE ORDI ERE A VARIAN RDINANCE TO THE PUBLIC	NANCE NCE IN THE D BE OBSERVED, INTEREST NOT
1.	UNN OF T PREV PURI UNN	ECESSA HE TER ENT TH POSE OF ECESSA proper	RY HARDS MS OF TH HE OWNE WOULD RILY BUR y that need led garage.	SHIP IS PRESENTE ZONING ORING TO SHIP IS PRESENT ORING ORING RENDER CONFOUNDENSOME BECUTO be resolved. This clears this upper sections of the section of the	NT IN THAT DINANCE WO THE PROPE ORMITY WIT CAUSE	A LITERAL ENDULD UNREACTY FOR A PHOTH SUCH RESTREACTE ARE OTHER VIOLET TO THE PROPERTY OF THE	NFORCEMENT SONABLY ERMITTED TRICTIONS ations on this became an un-
2.	PROI	PERTY R AUSE create a	ATHER T this lot is e hardship.	E TO UNIQUE I HAN THE CIRC extremely small ar It's a small lot. If Is land. Also becau	CUMSTANCE ad the present I they moved o	S OF THE APP location of the s ver 6', there wou	LICANT eptic system both lld be a tree line
3.	EXP	RESSED AUSE the pro	BY THE Pi it is best to perty into c		NTENT OF T tions and still he current, non-	HE ZONING (nave a functiona conforming gara	
*A VA	RIANC	CE MAY	BE GRAN'	TED IF ALL THI	ESE CONDIT	IONS ARE ME	<u> </u>
DECIS	SION:	THE RE	QUESTEI	D VARIANCE IS	GRANTED.		
MOTI	ON:	Hoeft		SECOND:	Carroll	VOTE: 3-0	(voice vote)
COND	OITIO	NS OF A	PPROVAL/	DENIAL:			
SIGNI	E D:			HAIRPERSON		DATE:	05-11-2017

BOARD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.